

## Two level iterative type explicit schemes\*

P.V. Banushkina

In the paper, we continue the investigation carried out in [1], namely, another approach to design two level explicit schemes for solution of the boundary value parabolic problems is proposed. The paper is founded on the combination of approaches from works [1, 2].

### 1. The original family of explicit-implicit schemes

Let  $H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $H = H_1 \times H_2$  be the real finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_i$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , respectively. Let  $A_{ii} : H_i \rightarrow H_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $A_{12} : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$  be linear continuous operators, such that  $A_{ii}$  are self-conjugated and positive definite in  $H_i$ . Here  $A_{12}^T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is the operator conjugated to  $A_{12}$ . Define the operator  $A : H \rightarrow H$  as a matrix operator of the following form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^T & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$A$  is a self-conjugated operator in  $H$ . In the sequel, it is supposed that  $A$  is positive semi-definite operator. It is well-known [3] that necessary and sufficient condition for that is positive semi-definiteness of the Sura complement  $S_{22}(A) = A_{22} - A_{12}^T A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}$ :

$$(S_{22}(A)u_2, u_2)_2 \geq 0 \quad \forall u_2 \in H_2. \quad (1.1)$$

Consider the following difference scheme in the spaces  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ :

$$\frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_1^n}{\Delta t} + A_{11}u_1^n + A_{12}u_2^n = f_1^n, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\frac{u_2^{n+1} - u_2^n}{\Delta t} + A_{12}^T u_1^{n+1} + A_{22}u_2^{n+1} = f_2^n, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Delta t$  is a time step. Reduce scheme (1.2), (1.3) to a canonical two-layer scheme in  $H$  [4]. For this end expand the second equation

---

\*Partially supported by NWO-RFBR program (project 047.008.007), FTP Integration (project 274).

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E + \Delta t A_{22})u_2^{n+1} - u_2^n + \Delta t A_{12}^T u_1^{n+1} - \Delta t f_2^n \\
& = (E + \Delta t A_{22})(u_2^{n+1} - u_2^n) + \Delta t A_{12}^T (u_1^{n+1} - u_1^n) + \\
& \quad \Delta t A_{22} u_2^n + \Delta t A_{12}^T u_1^n - \Delta t f_2^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\Delta t A_{12}^T \frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_1^n}{\Delta t} + (E + \Delta t A_{22}) \frac{(u_2^{n+1} - u_2^n)}{\Delta t} + A_{12}^T u_1^n + A_{22} u_2^n = f_2^n. \quad (1.4)$$

Taking into account (1.2) and (1.4) write down the two-layer canonical scheme in  $H$

$$B_1 \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + A u^n = f^n, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $f^n = (f_1^n, f_2^n)^T \in H$ ,  $u^n = (u_1^n, u_2^n)^T \in H$ ,  $B_1 : H \rightarrow H$  is the matrix operator of the form

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & O_{12} \\ \Delta t A_{12}^T & E_{22} + \Delta t A_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.6)$$

Let us investigate the stability of scheme (1.6).

**Theorem 1.** *Let the condition*

$$\frac{\Delta t}{2} \|A_{11}\|_{(1)} \leq 1 \quad (1.7)$$

*be satisfied, then for any  $u \in H$  the inequality  $(B_1 u, u) \geq 0.5 \Delta t (A u, u)$  holds.*

**Proof.** Verify positive semi-definiteness of the operator

$$D = B_1 - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A.$$

For this purpose represent  $D$  in the following form:  $D = D^{(0)} + D^{(1)}$ , where

$$D^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{11} & O_{12} \\ O_{21} & E_{22} + \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} O_{11} & -\frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{12} \\ \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{12}^T & O_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Positive semi-definiteness of the operator  $D^{(0)}$  follows from condition (1.7). Verify positive semi-definiteness of the operator  $D^{(1)}$ . Since  $D^{(1)}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix, then  $(D^{(1)} u, u) = 0$ . Thus  $D = D^{(0)} + D^{(1)} \geq 0$ .  $\square$

## 2. Stability of iterative type schemes

Rewrite equation (1.3) in another form

$$(E_{22} + \Delta t A_{22})u_2^{n+1} = u_2^n - \Delta t A_{12}^T u_1^{n+1} + \Delta t f_2^n \equiv g_2^n. \quad (2.1)$$

Our aim is to replace problem (2.1) by some other problem with preserving approximation and stability of the method. Let  $C$  be some square  $K \times K$  matrix, where  $K$  is the dimensionality of  $H$ . Introduce the vector  $v$  as

$$v = Cu^n + \Delta t f^n, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $v^T = (v_1^T, v_2^T)$ . Seek the vector  $u_2^{n+1}$  in the following form:

$$u_2^{n+1} = Lv_2 + Qg_2^n, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $L$  and  $Q$  are  $K_2 \times K_2$  matrices, where  $K_2$  is the dimensionality of  $H_2$ . The vector  $u_1^{n+1}$  as earlier is determined from (1.2). Further it is supposed that  $Q$  is definite matrix. From (1.2), (2.1), and (2.3) it is easy to obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_1^n}{\Delta t} + A_{11}u_1^n + A_{12}u_2^n &= f_1^n, \\ \Delta t A_{12}^T \frac{u_1^{n+1} - u_1^n}{\Delta t} + Q^{-1} \frac{u_2^{n+1} - u_2^n}{\Delta t} + \\ A_{12}^T u_1^n + \frac{1}{\Delta t} (Q^{-1} - E_{22})u_2^n - \frac{1}{\Delta t} Q^{-1}Lv_2 &= f_2^n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

or

$$B \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + Ru^n - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Q^{-1}Lv_2 \end{pmatrix} = f^n, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & O_{12} \\ \Delta t A_{12}^T & Q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^T & \frac{1}{\Delta t} (Q^{-1} - E_{22}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the sequel, we will use the matrices  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$ , and  $D$  of  $K \times K$  of the form

$$D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} O_{11} & O_{12} \\ O_{21} & Q^{-1}L \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} O_{11} & O_{12} \\ O_{21} & Q^{-1} - E_{22} - \Delta t A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = E + D_0.$$

Note that

$$R = A + \frac{1}{\Delta t} D_1, \quad \begin{pmatrix} O \\ Q^{-1}Lv_2 \end{pmatrix} = D_0(Cu^n + \Delta t f^n).$$

Using introduced notation rewrite (2.5):

$$B \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + \left( A + \frac{1}{\Delta t} (D_1 - D_0 C) \right) u^n = D f^n. \quad (2.6)$$

Define the matrix  $C$  and relation between matrices  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  by the following equalities:

$$C = E - \Delta t A, \quad D_0 = D_1.$$

The first of these equalities means that according to (2.2) the vector  $v$  is obtained as a result of using the explicit Euler scheme, namely, this equality provides approximation in spite of the choice of the matrix  $Q$ . Second equality means that

$$Q^{-1} L = Q^{-1} - E_{22} - \Delta t A_{22}, \quad (2.7)$$

from where

$$L = E_{22} - Q(E_{22} + \Delta t A_{22}). \quad (2.8)$$

Then scheme (2.6) takes the form

$$B \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + D A u^n = D f^n.$$

Require that the matrix  $D$  is definite. Noting that  $B = B_1 + D_1 = B_1 + D - E$ , we obtain

$$(E + D^{-1}(B_1 - E)) \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + A u^n = f^n. \quad (2.9)$$

Denote  $\tilde{B} = (E + D^{-1}(B_1 - E))$  and investigate the stability of the scheme

$$\tilde{B} \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t} + A u^n = f^n \quad (2.10)$$

with respect to initial data supposing that  $f^n = 0$  is a null element of the space  $H$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let conditions*

$$\Delta t \|A_{11}\|_{(1)} \leq 1, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\|Q^{-1} L\|_{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (2.12)$$

*be satisfied. Then for any  $u \in H$  the inequality*

$$(\tilde{B} u, u) \geq \frac{\Delta t}{2} (A u, u), \quad (2.13)$$

*holds and the estimate*

$$(A u^n, u^n) \leq (A u^0, u^0), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.14)$$

*takes place.*

**Proof.** Since the space  $H$  is real so for any  $u \in H$   $(\tilde{B}u, u) = (\tilde{B}_0u, u)$ , where  $\tilde{B}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{B} + \tilde{B}^T)$ , where  $\tilde{B}^T$  is the operator conjugated to  $\tilde{B}$ , i.e.,  $B_0$  is a self-conjugated operator. Thus, for the proof of (2.13) it is sufficient to verify positive semi-definiteness of the operator  $\tilde{D} = \tilde{B}_0 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta t A$ . Write down the operators  $\tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{B}_0$ :

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & O_{12} \\ \Delta t(Q^{-1} - \Delta t A_{22})^{-1} A_{12}^T & \Delta t(Q^{-1} - \Delta t A_{22})^{-1} A_{22} + E_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{B}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{12}^T (Q^{-1} - \Delta t A_{22})^{-1} \\ \frac{\Delta t}{2} (Q^{-1} - \Delta t A_{22})^{-1} A_{12}^T & \Delta t(Q^{-1} - \Delta t A_{22})^{-1} A_{22} + E_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{11} & \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{12}^T (Q^{-1} L + E_{22})^{-1} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{12} \\ \frac{\Delta t}{2} (Q^{-1} L + E_{22})^{-1} A_{12}^T - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{12}^T & \Delta t(Q^{-1} L + E_{22})^{-1} A_{22} + E_{22} - \frac{\Delta t}{2} A_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we used an equality  $(Q^{-1} - \Delta t A_{22})^{-1} = (Q^{-1} L + E_{22})^{-1}$ , which follows from (2.7). Represent  $\tilde{D}$  in the form  $\tilde{D} = D' + D''$

$$D' = \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} - \Delta t A_{11} & O_{12} \\ O_{21} & O_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D'' = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} G \\ G A_{12}^T & 2G A_{22} + \frac{2}{\Delta t} E_{22} + A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $G = (Q^{-1} L + E_{22})^{-1} - E_{22}$ . From condition (2.11) positive semi-definiteness of the operator  $D'$  follows immediately. Furthermore, since  $A_{11}$  is a positive definite operator, then for positive semi-definiteness of  $D''$  it is sufficient to verify positive semi-definiteness of the Sura complement [3]

$$S_{22}(D'') = 2G A_{22} + \frac{2}{\Delta t} E_{22} + A_{22} - G A_{12}^T A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} G.$$

Let  $Q$  and  $L$  be matrix polynomials with respect to  $A_{22}$ . In the sequel it will be shown how to construct them. Then  $Q$ ,  $L$ , and  $G$  are self-conjugated and transposing with  $A_{22}$  operators. As follows from (1.1), it is sufficient to verify positive semi-definiteness of the operator

$$\tilde{S}_{22} = 2G A_{22} + A_{22} - G A_{22} G = A_{22}(2G + E_{22} - G^2). \quad (2.15)$$

Since  $A_{22} \geq 0$  hence for positive semi-definiteness of  $\tilde{S}_{22}$  it is sufficient

$$2G + E_{22} - G^2 \geq 0$$

or

$$G^2 - 2G - E_{22} \leq 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Since  $G$  is self-conjugated operator, its eigenvalues are real, and eigenvectors form the complete orthogonal system in  $H_2$  [3]. If  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $G$ , then  $\lambda = \mu^2 - 2\mu - 1$  is an eigenvalue of the operator  $P(G) = G^2 - 2G - E_{22}$ . Thus to satisfy (2.16) it is necessary  $(1 - \sqrt{2}) \leq \lambda \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})$ . Hence

$$(1 - \sqrt{2})E_{22} \leq (Q^{-1}L + E_{22})^{-1} - E_{22} \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})E_{22}.$$

It is valid under the condition

$$\|Q^{-1}L\|_{(2)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Hence  $\tilde{S}_{22} \geq 0$ , from where positive semi-definiteness of the operator  $D''$  follows. Thus inequality (2.13) is proved. And finally (2.14) is immediate consequence of (2.13) and [4, Theorem 1, p. 303].  $\square$

Now investigate stability of scheme (2.10) with respect to the right-hand side. It is known [5, Theorem 5, p.172] that if to consider scheme (1.11) with uniform initial data and to require an equality

$$\tilde{B} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon E + \Delta t A) \quad \forall u \in H, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \quad (2.17)$$

to hold, then an estimate

$$(Au^m, u^m) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \Delta t \|f^n\|^2 \quad (2.18)$$

takes place.

**Theorem 3.** *Let conditions (2.12) and*

$$\Delta t \|A_{11}\|_{(1)} \leq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \quad (2.19)$$

*be satisfied. Then (2.17) holds and in the case of the uniform initial data the inequality (2.18) takes place.*

**Proof.** Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1 represent the operator  $\tilde{D} = \tilde{B}_0 - \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon E + \Delta t A)$  in the form  $\tilde{D} = D' + D''$  where

$$D' = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})E_{11} - \frac{1+\omega}{2}\Delta t A_{11} & O_{12} \\ O_{21} & O_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D'' = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega A_{11} & A_{12}G \\ GA_{12}^T & 2GA_{22} + \frac{2}{\Delta t}E_{22} + A_{22} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta t}E_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\omega > 0$  is a number. Let  $\omega = 1/(1 - \varepsilon)$ . Then the equality

$$(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})E_{11} - \frac{1 + \omega}{2}\Delta t A_{11} = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\left(E_{11} - \frac{\Delta t}{1 - \varepsilon}A_{11}\right)$$

takes place and  $D' \geq 0$  under condition (2.19). Verify that  $D'' \geq 0$ . For that it is sufficient to show that the Sura complement

$$\begin{aligned} S_{22}(D'') &= 2GA_{22} + \frac{2}{\Delta t}E_{22} + A_{22} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}E_{22} - \\ &\quad GA_{12}^T(1 - \varepsilon)A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}G + (1 - \varepsilon)GA_{22}G \end{aligned}$$

is positive semi-definite. Taking into account (1.1) it is sufficient to show positive semi-definiteness of the operator

$$\tilde{S}_{22} = 2GA_{22} + A_{22} - GA_{22}G = A_{22}(2G + E_{22} - G^2)$$

In Theorem 2.1, it was proved that  $\tilde{S}_{22} \geq 0$  under condition (2.12). Thus  $D'' \geq 0$ , and inequality (2.17) is valid. The estimate (2.18) follows immediately from (2.17) and [5, Theorem 5, p. 172].  $\square$

### 3. Choice of scheme parameters

In this section, consider the way of construction of the matrices  $L$  and  $Q$ . Let the vector  $u_2^{n+1}$  be calculated as a result of  $s$  steps of iterative process

$$\begin{aligned} v_2^0 &= v_2, \\ \frac{v_2^k - v_2^{k-1}}{\tau_k} + (E_{22} + \Delta t A_{22})v_2^{k-1} - g_2^n &= 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, s, \\ u_2^{n+1} &= v_2^s, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where  $v_2$  and  $g_2^n$  are given according to (2.2) and (2.1). Denote

$$Z = (E_{22} + \Delta t A_{22}). \tag{3.2}$$

Expand (3.1) step by step

$$\begin{aligned} v_2^1 &= (E_{22} - \tau_1 Z)v_2^0 + \tau_1 g_2^n, \\ v_2^2 &= (E_{22} - \tau_2 Z)v_2^1 + \tau_2 g_2^n \\ &= (E_{22} - \tau_2 Z)(E_{22} - \tau_1 Z)v_2^0 + \tau_1(E_{22} - \tau_2 Z)g_2^n + \tau_2 g_2^n, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ v_2^s &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^s (E_{22} - \tau_k Z)\right)v_2^0 + (\tau_s E_{22} + \tau_{s-1}(E_{22} - \tau_s Z) + \\ &\quad \tau_{s-2}(E_{22} - \tau_s Z)(E_{22} - \tau_{s-1}Z) + \dots + \\ &\quad \tau_1(E_{22} - \tau_s Z) \times \dots \times (E_{22} - \tau_2 Z))g_2^n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Write down an identity

$$\tau_k E_{22} = Z^{-1}(\tau_k Z - E_{22} + E_{22}) = Z^{-1} - Z^{-1}(E_{22} - \tau_k Z) \quad (3.4).$$

Substituting (3.4) to (3.3), we obtain

$$v_2^s = \left( \prod_{k=1}^s (E_{22} - \tau_k Z) \right) v_2 + Z^{-1} \left( E_{22} - \prod_{k=1}^s (E_{22} - \tau_k Z) \right) g_2^n.$$

Then

$$u_2^{n+1} = L_s(Z)v_2 + Q_{s-1}(Z)g_2^n, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$L_s(Z) = \prod_{k=1}^s (E_{22} - \tau_k Z), \quad Q_{s-1}(Z) = Z^{-1}(E_{22} - L_s(Z)). \quad (3.6)$$

The matrices  $L_s(Z)$  and  $Q_{s-1}(Z)$  are given by the polynomials  $L_s(\lambda)$ , and  $Q_{s-1}(\lambda)$  ( $Q_{s-1}(\lambda)$  is a polynomial because  $L_s(0) = 1$ ). In the capacity of  $L$  and  $Q$ , let us take the matrix polynomials  $L_s(Z)$  and  $Q_{s-1}(Z)$ . It is possible to do this since equality (3.5) corresponds to representation (2.3) and second part of equality (3.6) easy transforms to condition (2.7). Notice that for eigenvalues of the matrix  $Z = E_{22} + \Delta t A_{22}$  it is held  $\lambda \in [1, \beta]$  ( $A_{22}$  and  $Z(A_{22})$  are self-conjugated operators, hence  $\lambda(Z) = 1 + \Delta t \mu(A_{22})$ ), where the upper limit of the eigenvalue  $\beta$  can be easy calculated with the use of the Gershgorin circles. Then to satisfy the condition of stability (2.11) it is sufficient

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{L_s(\lambda)}{Q_{s-1}(\lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \lambda \in [1, \beta]. \quad (3.7)$$

There arises the problem to find the polynomial of the form

$$L_s(\lambda) = \prod_{k=1}^s (1 - \tau_k \lambda) \quad (3.8)$$

of minimal degree such that inequality (3.7) holds, where  $Q_{s-1}(\lambda) = (1 - L_s(\lambda))/\lambda$ . Below it will be pointed a polynomial which gives asymptotically the best result (when  $\beta$  is big) than the Chebyshev polynomial of first kind reducing to segment  $[1, \beta]$ . Notice that for implicit schemes we are interested in the case when  $\tau \gg h^2$ , i.e., the case of big  $\beta = O(\tau/h^2)$ . We will consider the polynomial introduced in [6] and used in a number of works. Consider a function

$$l_s(x) = \frac{1 - T_{s+1}(1 - 2x)}{2(s+1)^2 x}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (3.9)$$

where  $T_{s+1}(y)$ ,  $y \in [-1, 1]$ , is the Chebyshev polynomial of first kind of the degree  $s+1$ . The function  $l_s(x)$  is the polynomial of the degree  $s$  of the form

$(1 - x/x_1) \times \cdots \times (1 - x/x_s)$  with the roots  $x_k = \sin^2 \frac{k\pi}{s+1} \in [0, 1]$  where  $0 \leq l_s(x) \leq 1$ ,  $l_s(0) = 1$ . Further consider the function  $q_{s-1}(x) = (1 - l_s(x))/x$ . It is easy to note that  $q_{s-1}(x)$  is the polynomial of the degree  $s-1$  and, furthermore,  $q_{s-1}(x) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{(s+1)^2} > 0$  when  $x \in [0, 1]$  [1]. Let  $L_s(\lambda) = l_s(\lambda/\beta)$ . According to the second equality of (3.6)  $Q_{s-1}(\lambda) = \beta^{-1}q_{s-1}(\lambda/\beta)$ . Notice that the matrix  $L_s(Z)$  is positive semi-definite, the matrix  $Q_{s-1}(Z)$  is positive definite and these matrices are permutable. Then there exists positive semi-definite matrix  $R_s(Z) = Q_{s-1}^{-1}(Z)L_s(Z)$ , i.e., corresponding rational function  $R_s(\lambda) \geq 0$  in  $[1, \beta]$ , and hence the left-hand side of inequalities (3.7) holds. Clear up under what conditions the right-hand side of inequalities (3.7) takes place. Consider the function  $r_s(x) = l_s(x)/q_{s-1}(x)$ . We need the following

**Lemma.** Let  $s \geq \lceil \sqrt{(\sqrt{2} + 1)\beta} \rceil$ , where  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  is an integer part of a number. Then

$$r_s(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\beta}, \quad x \in [1/\beta, 1].$$

**Proof.** Let  $x = \sin^2 \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in [\alpha_0, \pi/2]$ , where  $\sin^2 \alpha_0 = 1/\beta$ . Direct calculations give

$$r_s(x) = \frac{\sin^2 \alpha \sin^2(s+1)\alpha}{(s+1)^2 \sin^2 \alpha - \sin^2(s+1)\alpha}.$$

From condition of the lemma there follows  $(s+1)^2 \geq (\sqrt{2} + 1)\beta$ . Then

$$(s+1)^2 \sin^2 \alpha - \sin^2(s+1)\alpha \geq (s+1)^2 x - 1 \geq \frac{(s+1)^2}{\beta} - 1 \geq \sqrt{2}, \quad (3.10)$$

hence

$$r_s(x) \leq \frac{x}{(s+1)^2 x - 1}.$$

Notice that this inequality transforms to the equality, when  $\sin^2(s+1)\alpha = 1$ . Right-hand side of the above inequality decreases with respect to  $x$  and has maximum, when  $x = 1/\beta$ . Then according to (3.10)

$$r_s(x) \leq \frac{1/\beta}{(s+1)^2/\beta - 1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\beta}. \quad \square$$

Since

$$\frac{L_s(\lambda)}{Q_{s-1}(\lambda)} = \frac{l_s(\lambda/\beta)}{q_{s-1}(\lambda/\beta)}\beta = r_s(\lambda/\beta)\beta,$$

hence

$$\frac{L_s(\lambda)}{Q_{s-1}(\lambda)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Thus, inequality (3.7) holds that corresponds to holding the condition (2.12).

## References

- [1] Laevsky Yu.M., Banushkina P.V. The compound explicit schemes // Siberian J. of Numer. Mathematics / Sib. Branch of Russ. Acad. of Sci. – Novosibirsk, 2000. – Vol. 3, № 2. – P. 165–168.
- [2] Laevsky Yu.M. On domain decomposition methods for grid parabolic problems // Russ. J. Numer. Anal. Math. Modeling. – The Netherlands, 1998. – Vol. 13, № 5. – P. 389–403.
- [3] Voevodin V.V., Kuznetsov Yu.A. Matrices and computations. – Moscow: Nauka, 1984 (in Russian).
- [4] Samarsky A.A. Introduction in difference schemes theory. – Moscow: Nauka, 1971 (in Russian).
- [5] Samarsky A.A., Gulin A.V. The stability of difference schemes. – Moscow: Nauka, 1973 (in Russian).
- [6] Lanczos C. Chebyshev polynomials in the solutions of Large-scale linear systems // Proc. Assoc. Comput. Mach. – Toronto, 1953. – P. 124–133.